

II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Applicants would like to thank Examiner Edell for his helpful suggestions during a telephonic interview with applicants' representative Michael A. Forhan on April 8, 2009. The substance of the interview is reiterated below to satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 1.133(b).

Applicants' proposed amendments to Claim 1 and accompanying remarks, which were submitted to Examiner Edell via fax on April 7, 2009, were reviewed and discussed. Examiner Edell concurred that the proposed amendments appear to overcome the art presently cited against Claim 1. Examiner Edell further suggested adding limitations in a vehicle context, such as a seat pivot point (i.e., anchor) attached to a floor and the engagement of the support leg to the floor when the leg is in the extended position.